

5/PRTS

09/831901

531 PRTS

25 MAY 2001

DESCRIPTION

An Oral Formulation  
for Gastrointestinal Drug Delivery

Technical Field

The present invention relates to an oral formulation for gastrointestinal drug delivery. More specifically, the present invention relates to an oral formulation for gastrointestinal drug delivery to improve the bioavailability of drugs that have a low bioavailability due to a low absorption in the digestive tract.

Background Art

Low bioavailability of drugs after oral administration is considered to be caused by the following factors. (1) In oral administration of formulations such as common tablets and capsules, the concentration gradient of the drug between the digestive lumen and the blood increases immediately after release of the drug from the oral formulation, but rapidly decreases while the formulation moves to the lower part of the digestive tract, which gives a disadvantage to the drug absorption conditions. (2) Drugs such as furosemide are absorbed from the limited absorption site of the upper part of the small intestine (the so-called window effect), and common formulations of such drugs cannot exhibit satisfactory

09831901-080701

bioavailability after passing through the absorption site.

(3) Drugs of protein or peptide are subjected to drastic hydrolytic degradation by digestive enzymes secreted to the digestive tract, whereby the bioavailability is reduced to only a few percentage. (4) HIV protease inhibitors such as ritonavir, saquinavir, indinavir and nelfinavir are, after absorbed from the digestive tract, actively excreted by excretory proteins such as P-gp expressed on the epithelial cell membrane of the intestinal tract.

In order to improve low bioavailability of drugs caused by the window effect, Akiyama et. al. developed mucosa adhesive granule formulations (Pharmaceutical Research, vol. 12, pp. 397-405, 1995).

As conventional techniques for improving bioavailability of protein and peptide after oral administration, with the main object of preventing hydrolytic degradation in the stomach and the small intestine, there have been developed a colon dissolvable azopolymer-coated insulin tablet by Dr. Saffran et. al., an emulsion preparation from Cortex Co., a technique for manufacturing oral formulation by Takada, a colon delivery technique, and a liposome preparation by Takada (Takada, Pharm. Tech. Japan, 1988, pp. 1299-1307; Takada, Pharm. Tech. Japan, 1991, pp. 512-52; Takada, Pharm. Tech. Japan, 1995, pp. 1335-1344; Ko et. al., Nippon Rinsho [Japan Clinicals], 1998, pp. 595-600) and the like.

## Disclosure of the Invention

In mucosa adhesive granule formulations, the drug molecules cannot be protected from the attack of digestive enzymes existing in the digestive tract. Also in the peptide or protein oral formulations above, it is difficult to perfectly prevent the protein or peptide from the attack of digestive enzymes in the small intestinal lumen.

The object of the present invention is to provide an oral formulation for drug delivery (sometimes referred to as drug delivery system (DDS) formulations) which enable improvement of the bioavailability by preparing a patch preparation by using a gel-forming polymer and a water-insoluble polymer which adheres to the mucosal membrane of the digestive tract after oral administration and prevents permeation of digestive enzymes existing in the digestive tract, protecting the drug molecules in the patch preparation from the attack of digestive enzymes in the small intestine lumen; and retaining the concentration gradient over a long period of time by adhesion to the mucosal membrane.

The present inventors conducted various studies to achieve the aforementioned object, and have successfully developed an oral formulation for gastrointestinal drug delivery which comprises three layers each having a specific function. The present invention was achieved on the basis of these findings.

According to the present invention, there is provided



hemisphere is preferably from 20 to 800  $\mu\text{m}$ , and the thickness of the protecting layer and the adhesion site-controlling layer is preferably from 20 to 100  $\mu\text{m}$ .

Preferably, the drug-carrying layer is a porous sheet substrate soaked with a drug, or a sheet or a film of gel or wax which contains a drug.

Preferably, the drug-carrying layer further contains one or more ingredients selected from a group consisting of absorption promoters, protease inhibitors and transporter inhibitors.

Preferably, the protecting layer is a film or capsule made of a water-insoluble polymer or wax.

Preferably, the adhesion site-controlling layer is a film made of an enteric polymer.

Preferably, the drug is a physiologically active protein or peptide.

Preferably, the drug is G-CSF, interferon or indinavir.

According to another aspect of the present invention, there is provided an oral capsule formulation which is prepared by filling the aforementioned formulation in a capsule. The oral capsule formulation is preferably an enteric capsule.

#### Brief Description of the Drawings

Figure 1 shows plasma fluorescein (FL) concentration-time curves after i.v., 1mg, and oral, 30 mg,

administration of FL in gastrointestinal(GI)-mucoadhesive delivery systems to beagle dogs. In the figure,  $\square$ : HP-55<sup>R</sup> system,  $\circ$ : Eudragit<sup>R</sup> L100 System, and  $\diamond$ : Eudragit<sup>R</sup> S100 system. Each value represents the mean  $\pm$ S.E. of three or four subjects.

Figure 2 shows total white blood cells (WBC) dynamics after i.v. and oral administrations of G-CSF, 125 $\mu$ g, in GI-mucoadhesive delivery systems to beagle dogs. In the figure,  $\square$ : HP-55<sup>R</sup> system,  $\circ$ : Eudragit<sup>R</sup> L100 System, and  $\diamond$ : Eudragit<sup>R</sup> S100 system. Each value represents the mean  $\pm$ S.E. of three subjects.

Figure 3 shows effects of HCO-60<sup>R</sup> and citric acid on WBC dynamics after oral administration of G-CSF, 125 $\mu$ g, in Eudragit<sup>R</sup> L100 GI-mucoadhesive delivery system to beagle dogs. In the figure,  $\triangle$ : HCO-60/citric acid (100/100),  $\square$ : HCO-60/citric acid (100/150),  $\circ$ : HCO-60/citric acid (100/200), and  $\diamond$ : HCO-60/citric acid (50/200). Each value represents the mean  $\pm$ S.E. of three subjects.

Figure 4 shows serum G-CSF concentration-time curves after oral, 125 $\mu$ g, administration of G-CSF in Eudragit<sup>R</sup> L100 GI-mucoadhesive delivery system to beagle dogs. Each value represents the mean  $\pm$ S.E. of three subjects.

Figure 5 shows distribution of (a) HP-55<sup>R</sup>, (b) Eudragit<sup>R</sup> L100, (c) Eudragit<sup>R</sup> S100 GI-mucoadhesive delivery systems in the intestinal tract of rats after intraduodenal administration. The small intestine was divided into five sections and the length of each section was 12-15cm.

**Debt Capital**

**Debt Capital**

**Debt Capital**

molecules from the attack of digestive enzymes and the retention of the concentration gradient over a long period of time.

The adhesion site-controlling layer comprises a substance which prevents the drug from burst-releasing in the early phase and dissolves at a specific patch (adhesion) site selected in the digestive tract. Typically, the adhesion site-controlling layer is made of a pH dependent enteric polymer such as hydroxypropyl methylcellulose phthalate (HP-55<sup>R</sup>), methacrylic copolymer (Eudragit<sup>R</sup> L), methacrylic copolymer-LD (Eudragit<sup>R</sup> LD) and methacrylic copolymer-S (Eudragit<sup>R</sup> S). The thickness of the adhesion site-controlling layer is generally from 20 to 100  $\mu\text{m}$ , preferably from 30 to 70  $\mu\text{m}$ , and more preferably from 40 to 50  $\mu\text{m}$ .

The drug-carrying layer is an intermediate layer which exists between the protecting layer and the adhesion site-controlling layer. The drug-carrying layer contains a drug and an adhesive. The adhesive is used for attaching the drug-carrying layer to the mucosal membrane of the digestive tract when the adhesion site-controlling layer dissolves at a site selected in the digestive tract.

The type of the drug contained in the drug-carrying layer is not particularly limited, and is preferably those having a low bioavailability when orally administered. Those absorbed in gastrointestinal tract, especially in intestine are preferred. Examples include physiologically





the gel layer may be prepared by mixing an aqueous solution of a drug, powders of a drug, or a solid dispersion of a drug, or a micro- or nano-encapsuled drug with a concentrated solution of a gel-forming polymer such as carboxyvinyl polymer. Instead of the gel layer, there may be used a hydrophilic wax layer prepared by adding a drug and an adhesive such as Hiviswako 103<sup>R</sup> to a hydrophilic wax such as polyethylene glycol 400 (PEG 400). When nano- or micro-encapsulation is applied to the drug, a use of hydroxypropyl methylcellulose phthalate (HP-55<sup>R</sup>) as a nano- or microcapsule wall gives rapid release of the drug after attaching to the gastrointestinal wall.

In addition, by formulating the absorption promoter such as polyoxyethylated castor oil derivatives, capric acid and ursodeoxycholic acid etc. into the drug-carrying layer, the bioavailability of the drug can be further improved. Alternatively, by formulating protease inhibitors such as aprotinin in the drug-carrying layer, hydrolytic degradation of a peptide or protein drug can be effectively inhibited and bioavailability of the drug can also be improved. Alternatively, by formulating inhibitors of transporter like P-gp participating in the excretion of the absorbed drug (ex., verapamil and cyclosporine) to the drug-carrying layer, the bioavailability of the drug can be improved.

As explained below, the drug-carrying layer used in the present invention may be in the form of film or may

exist in the inner space of the hemispherical form made of the protecting layer. When the drug-carrying layer is in the form of a film, the thickness of the film is generally from 20 to 100  $\mu\text{m}$ , preferably from 30 to 70  $\mu\text{m}$ , and more preferably from 40 to 50  $\mu\text{m}$ .

The protecting layer (backing layer) functions for protecting the drug in the drug-carrying layer and is in the form of a film or a wall, for example a hemispheric form, which is made of a water-insoluble polymer, a wax, or a mixture thereof to inhibit permeation of the drug into the drug-carrying layer and digestive enzymes. The protecting layer may be prepared, for example, by using a water-insoluble pharmaceutical polymer such as ethylcellulose, aminoalkylmethacrylate copolymer (Eudragit RS), cellulose acetate, chitin and chitosan, or a wax such as stearic acid, stearyl alcohol, white beeswax, cacao butter, hard fat, purified shellac, polyoxyl 40 stearate, cetanol and polyoxyethyl lauryl ether. The thickness of the protecting layer is generally from 20 to 100  $\mu\text{m}$ , preferably from 30 to 70  $\mu\text{m}$ , and more preferably from 40 to 50  $\mu\text{m}$ . When the protecting layer is in the hemispherical form, the size of the hemisphere is not particularly limited. For example, the inside depth of the hemisphere is from 50 to 500  $\mu\text{m}$ , and the inside diameter (caliber) of the hemisphere is from 20 to 800  $\mu\text{m}$ , preferably from 50 to 500  $\mu\text{m}$ , and more preferably from 100 to 300  $\mu\text{m}$ .

00021901-080701

According to the present invention, the drug-carrying layer exists between the protecting layer and the adhesion site-controlling layer. Examples of those wherein the drug-carrying layer exists between the protecting layer and the adhesion site-controlling layer include (1) where the adhesion site-controlling layer, the drug-carrying layer and the protecting layer are in the form of film respectively, and these three layers are laminated in order (hereinafter referred to as the formulation according to the first embodiment) and (2) where the protecting layer is in the hemispherical form, the drug-carrying layer exists in the inner space of the hemispherical form, and the adhesion site-controlling layer covers the opening part of the hemisphere (hereinafter referred to as the formulation according to the second embodiment). The methods for preparing the aforementioned formulations in the first and second embodiments, which are typical examples of the present invention, will be described below in detail.

In order to prepare the formulation according to the first embodiment, a film for the protecting layer is formed by using a water-insoluble polymer or a wax mentioned above. More specifically, a water-insoluble polymer or wax is dissolved in an organic solvent such as ethanol, the resulting solution is cast in a Teflon flame, and the solvent is evaporated. For example, 550 mg of ethylcellulose and 150  $\mu$ l of triethyl citrate are dissolved in 5 ml of a mixture of methylene chloride and

methanol (4:1), and the resulting solution is cast on a Teflon plate.

Next, as explained above, the drug-carrying layer is formed on the protecting layer. An adhesive may be applied on the protecting layer and then the support containing a drug is attached thereon to form the drug-carrying layer, or alternatively, a drug and an adhesive (such as gel forming polymers) may be mixed and then applied on the protecting layer.



attaching a film made of an enteric polymer on the upper part of the half-minicapsules or half-microcapsules using a gel forming polymer glue so as to cap the half-capsules. Alternatively, the minicapsules or microcapsules which contain a drug and an adhesive polymer, may be prepared by using a water-insoluble polymer such as ethylcellulose or Eudragit<sup>R</sup> RS100 in a conventional method, and the resulting capsules are cut at the center into two pieces. Then, the adhesion site-controlling layer may be formed by attaching a film made of an enteric polymer on the upper part of the half-minicapsules or half-microcapsules using a gel forming polymer glue so as to cap the half-capsules.

Alternatively, in order to prepare the formulation in the second embodiment in a large amount, a film is formed by using a water-insoluble polymer or a wax in a similar manner to that in preparing the protecting layer used in the formulations in the first embodiment. The resulting film is put on a thorny object having many projections of the micron order regularly arranged such as a frog used in flower arrangement. A metal mold prepared by micro-machine techniques may also be used as an object on which the film is put. The film is allowed to stand under heating to a high temperature for a few hours, and then cooled to prepare a film with many cavities in the form of a micro-container having the depth of from 50 to 500  $\mu\text{m}$  and the caliber of from 20 to 800  $\mu\text{m}$ .

For filling a drug into the micro-container-like

00334901-030701

cavities formed on the film, two ways of the solid-phase method and the liquid-phase method may be used. In the solid-phase method, a drug and an adhesive are mixed and then the resulting mixture is filled into the micro-container-like cavities at a fixed amount under the solid condition. In the liquid-phase method, an adhesive is injected into the cavities by means of a solid-phase method, and a drug solution is injected into the cavities by a microinjector.

Then, the adhesion site-controlling layer (a film made of an enteric polymer) is provided so as to cover the upper part of the micro-container-like cavities. The adhesion site-controlling layer and the film having micro-container-like cavities may be adhered to each other by previously applying an adhesive on the adhesion site-controlling layer, and applying to the film having micro-container-like cavities filled with the drug. The adhesion site-controlling layer may be adhered to the film having micro-container-like cavities filled with the drug, by heating press methods, when the drug is heat-resistant.

The resulting film, which has many cavities filled with the drug and of which the cavities are covered with the adhesion site-controlling layer, is cut into small pieces each having a cavity to prepare the formulations in the second embodiment of the present invention. The cutting may be carried out mechanically or by using a laser under the microscope.



The present invention will be explained more specifically by referring to the following examples. However, the scope of the present invention is not limited to the following examples.

## Examples

### Example A

#### Materials

A solution of G-CSF ( $500 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ ) was obtained from Kirin Brewery Co., Ltd (Tokyo, Japan). Hydroxypropylmethylcellulose phthalate (HP-55) was obtained from Shin-etsu Chemical Industry Co. Ltd. (Tokyo, Japan). Eudragit S100 and L100 (Rohm Pharm, Darmstadt, Germany) were obtained through Higuchi Inc. (Tokyo, Japan). Carboxyvinyl polymer (Hiviswako 103), etylcellulose (EC, 10cp) and triethyl citrate were obtained from Wako Pure Chemical Industries, Ltd. (Osaka, Japan). Polyoxyethylated,  $60 \mu\text{mol}$ , castor oil derivative (HCO-60) was obtained from Nikko Chemicals Co., Ltd (Tokyo, Japan). Polyethylene glycol (PEG) 400, Sudan black, saccharose and citric acid were obtained from Nacalai Tesque Inc. (Kyoto, Japan). Fluorescein (FL), methanol and dichloromethane were obtained from Kanto Chemical Co., Ltd. (Tokyo, Japan). Magnesium silicate was obtained from Kyowa Chemical Industry Co. Ltd. (Takamatsu, Japan). Gelatin capsules(#0) were obtained from Yoshida Co., Ltd.(Himeji, Japan). Male beagle dogs (10.0-12.5kg) used in this study and standard

solid meal of commercial food (Labo D stock) were obtained from Nippon Nousan Co., Ltd. (Yokohama, Japan). Rats were obtained from SLC (Hamamatsu, Japan). All other materials used were of reagent grade and were used as received.

#### Preparation of GI mucoadhesive delivery system

The backing layer (protecting layer) was prepared by a casting/solvent evaporation technique from plasticizer-containing EC Solution. Plasticized polymer solution (11%, W/V) was prepared by dissolving 550mg of EC in 5ml of the mixture of methylene chloride and methanol (4:1) and adding 150mg of triethyl citrate to plasticize EC. To prepare the test films for the retention/transit in the GI tract of rats, 3.5mg of sudan black was added to the mixture. The plasticized EC solution was casted over a Teflon plate, 10 × 10 cm, and the solvent was evaporated at 6°C for 12h. After removed from the plate, the same size of drug-carrying layer, cellulose membrane, was attached by a thermal bonding at 80°C. Thereafter, the backing layer having drug-carrying layer was cut to 1.0 × 10.0cm. The thickness of the EC film itself was  $48.3 \pm 2.7 \mu\text{m}$ , and the total thickness was  $115.3 \pm 0.9 \mu\text{m}$ .

The pH-sensitive surface layer (adhesive site-controlling layer) was also prepared by the same method from enteric polymer, HP-55, Eudragit L100 and S100, respectively. Namely, 550mg of HP-55 and 50 $\mu\text{l}$  of triethyl citrate were dissolved with 10ml of methylene chloride and

methanol (4:1) mixture. Similarly, 550mg Eudragit L100 or Eudragit S100 was dissolved with 10ml of the methylene chloride and methanol (1:1) mixture with the addition of 100 $\mu$ l of triethyl citrate. Each solution was casted on a Teflon plate, 10 $\times$  10cm, and pH-sensitive film was prepared at 6  $^{\circ}$ C for 12h. After removed from the plate, pH-sensitive film was cut to 1.0  $\times$  10.0cm. The thickness of the enteric films were  $39.0 \pm 2.5\mu\text{m}$  for HP-55,  $36.3 \pm 2.2\mu\text{m}$  for Eudragit L100 and  $37.7 \pm 2.2\mu\text{m}$  for Eudragit S100. The mucoadhesive glue was prepared by mixing 0.8g of Hiviswako 103, 250 $\mu$ l of PEG 400 and 2ml of water. After knitting well, the glue was uniformly spread on the surface of pH-sensitive surface layer.

The drug loading was performed as follows; At first, the drug-carrying layer was wetted with 400 $\mu$ l of solution containing citric acid (100, 150 or 200mg) and HCO-60 (50 or 100mg) and was dried well at 50 $^{\circ}$ C for 2h. Thereafter, a drug solution was loaded onto the drug-carrying layer. For FL study, 200 $\mu$ l of FL solution, 150mg/ml, was loaded. For G-CSF study, 180 $\mu$ l or 250 $\mu$ l of G-CSF solution of which concentration was 500 $\mu$ g/ml was loaded. After the sheet was dried well for 12h in a refrigerator, the pH-sensitive surface layer was attached to the drug-carrying layer with the aid of Hiviswako glue. The three layered film was cut into small pieces, 3.0  $\times$  3.0 mm, and the small films were treated with micro-pulverized stearic acid and magnesium silicate to cover the edges of the films and to prevent the

sticking of the films with each other. Finally, the films were filled into a #0 Hp-55 capsule with the addition of pulverized sucrose as an excipient. HP-55 capsule was prepared as follows. HP-55 was dissolved with methylene chloride and methanol (4:1) mixture. The obtained 4.0 w/v% solution was filled in a #0 gelatin capsule body and cap, respectively. After evaporation, HP-55 cap and body were obtained by dissolving gelatin layer.

#### Pharmacokinetic study in beagle dogs

Three adult male beagle dogs were fasted overnight for 12h in each experiment, although free access to water was allowed. However, during the course of the experiment, water was not given until 4h after the test preparation was administered. Each dog received an oral administration of one test capsule in all studies. At 4h after administration, a solid meal of commercial food, 450g, and water were given. No additional food was given during the study. All the experiments were carried out at the same time of the day to exclude the influences by circadian rhythm. The administration was done at 10:30 A.M. with 20ml of water. At 30min before drug administration, a control blood sample (0.5ml) was removed from the jugular vein. Each dog received one capsule which contained 30mg of FL, or 125 $\mu$ g of G-CSF. After oral administration of the test preparation, 0.5ml of the blood samples were collected from the jugular vein at 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6h

for FL study and at 0, 1, 1.5, 2, 3, 4, 6, and 8h for G-CSF study. Blood samples for the ELISA assay of G-CSF were collected in EDTA. The plasma fraction for FL assay or serum fraction for G-CSF assay was obtained by centrifuging the blood samples at 12,000rpm for 5min. These plasma and serum samples were immediately frozen in a freezer at -80°C until analyzed. One week later, iv solution of FL or G-CSF was injected to the same dogs. The concentrations of the test solution were 1.0mg/ml for FL and 500  $\mu$ g/ml for G-CSF. The iv doses were 10mg for FL and 125 $\mu$ g/kg for G-CSF, respectively. After administration, blood samples were collected at 0, 5, 10, 20, 30, 40min, 1 and 2h. The plasma samples were also stored at -80°C until analysis.

#### Pharmacodynamic study of G-CSF in beagle dogs

The test GI mucoadhesive delivery system containing 125 or 90 $\mu$ g of G-CSF was orally administered to the same beagle dogs two weeks later and 0.5ml of the blood samples were collected from the jugular vein at 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8, 10 and 12h. Just after the last blood sampling, all the blood samples were used for the analysis of total white blood cell (WBC) count. In addition, the blood sample was also collected in the next morning, at 24 after administration and WBC count was measured.

#### Determination of retention and transit of films in the rat GI tract

Male Wistar rats weighing from 300 to 350g were allowed to fast for 12h before the experiments. Water was allowed ad libitum. Under light ether anesthesia, the abdominal incision was performed and ten pieces of the test films of which size was 1.0 × 2.0mm were administered into the duodenum through a cut on the stomach near the pylorus. To detect the small films in the GI tract, the backing layer of the film was stained with black dye, sudan black. After abdominal suture, each rat was left in a cage. At 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6h after administration, the rats were sacrificed. The stomach and the entire length of the small intestine were isolated and both the stomach and the small intestine were spread out on a sheet. The whole small intestine from pyloric sphincter to the ileo-cecal junction was divided into 5 portions and the remaining films in the GI tract were visually detected and were recorded. Thereafter, photographs were taken with a digital camera DC-3Z (RICOH Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan) and the image was captured and digitized using CapView software (Logitec, Tokyo, Japan) and analyzed with PhotoDeluxe software (Adobe). Photographs were printed out using a digital color printer (SONY, Tokyo, Japan).

#### Assay method

##### Plasma FL concentration

The FL concentration in the plasma was determined according to a spectrophotofluorometric method. To 200 $\mu$ l

of dog plasma sample, 0.5ml of methanol was added. After mixing well, the resulting mixture was centrifuged at 12,000rpm for 5min. To the supernatant, 1ml of 0.1 N-NaOH solution and 2ml of distilled water were added and the fluorescence intensity was measured using a Shimadzu RF-500 spectrophotofluorometer with an excitation wavelength of 468 nm and an emission one of 512nm.

#### Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) for G-CSF

Serum G-CSF concentrations were measured in an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay method as follows. After 100 $\mu$ l of protein solution was added to each well of flat-bottomed 96-well plate, 100 $\mu$ l of the serum sample or G-CSF standard solution prepared by adding the known amount of G-CSF to the blank dog serum were added to each well. The 96 well plates were incubated for 3h at room temperature. After incubation, the 96 well plates were washed with buffer. 200 $\mu$ l of polyclonal antibody against G-CSF conjugated to alkaline phosphatase was added to each well and was incubated for 2h. After washed, 50 $\mu$ l of NADPH were added and were incubated for 1h. 50 $\mu$ l of buffered solution containing ethanol and INT-violet were added and were incubated for 0.5h. After 2N sulfonic acid was added, the optical density of each well was determined using a microplate reader at 490nm. For our assays, we used the weighted least-squares regression method coupled with third-order log-logit transformation.

Total white blood cell (WBC) count

The pharmacological effects of G-CSF were evaluated by measuring circulating WBC counts. The 20  $\mu$ l of the EDTA treated blood samples were used for the measurement of WBC after diluted and hemolyzed using stromatolizing agent. The stromatolized blood cells was counted using an automatic microcell counter (Sysmex F-500). Three measurements were performed with one blood sample. The WBC count is expressed as a relative value, determined by dividing the WBC count at each time point after drug administration by the respective value, namely, the pre-dosing WBC count.

#### Data analysis

The following pharmacokinetic parameters were determined from the plasma drug concentration-time data. Cmax was the maximum drug concentration and Tmax was the time taken to reach Cmax and these values were obtained as measured values. The area under the plasma or serum drug concentration-time curve (AUC) and the area under the first-moment curve (AUMC) after i.v. and oral administrations were calculated using the linear trapezoidal rule up to the last measured drug concentration. The mean residence time (MRT) after oral administration was calculated by  $AUMC/AUC$ . The extent of bioavailability (BA) was calculated from the  $Dose_{i.v.}$ ,  $AUC_{i.v.}$ , and  $AUC_{oral}$  by the following equation,  $BA = AUC_{oral} \cdot Dose_{i.v.} / AUC_{i.v.} \cdot Dose_{oral}$ .



The pharmacological availability (PA) of the oral dose of G-CSF was calculated from the following equation:

$$F = (AUC_{0-48, \text{oral}} \times \text{Dose}_{\text{i.v.}}) / (AUC_{0-48, \text{i.v.}} \times \text{Dose}_{\text{oral}})$$

Where  $AUC_{0-48, \text{oral}}$  is the individual area from time zero to 48h under the pharmacological effect-time curve of each dog who received G-CSF GI mucoadhesive delivery system or iv solution.  $\text{Dose}_{\text{iv}}$  and  $AUC_{0-48, \text{iv}}$  are the average dose and area from time zero to 48h under the pharmacological effect-time curve of all dogs given G-CSF solution intravenously. As for iv administration of the G-CSF solution, pharmacological effects were measured from time zero to 48h when the effects returned to the pre-dose level.

#### Statistics

All values are expressed as their as their mean  $\pm$  S.E. Statistical differences were assumed to be reproducible when  $p < 0.05$  (one-sided t-test).

#### Results

Pharmacokinetic study of GI mucoadhesive delivery system formulated with FL

To study the pharmacokinetics of FL after oral administration in GI mucoadhesive delivery system, GI mucoadhesive delivery system containing 30mg of FL was prepared and was administered to three beagle dogs.

In this study on GI mucoadhesive delivery system, three types of pH-sensitive surface layers were used. They

were HP-55, Eudragit L100 and S100. After oral administration of these three types of GI mucoadhesive delivery system, plasma FL concentration vs. time profiles were obtained as shown in Fig. 1. In the case of both HP-55 and Eudragit L100 GI mucoadhesive delivery systems, the plasma FL concentration started to increase at 1h and 1.5h after oral administration to dogs and reached to the peak levels, C<sub>max</sub>, at 2 and 3h, respectively. However, in the case of Eudragit S100 GI mucoadhesive delivery system, there was an absorption lag-time, approximately 2h. Thereafter, plasma FL concentration started to increase and reached to C<sub>max</sub> at 5h. Noncompartmental pharmacokinetic analysis was performed with these data and the results are shown in Table I. There are not significant differences on the AUC values between HP-55 and Eudragit L100 GI mucoadhesive delivery system. However, the AUC from Eudragit S100 GI mucoadhesive delivery system was significantly smaller than the others, because there was a great absorption lag-time due to the delivery to the lower part of the small intestine and absorption of FL did not end within 8h after oral administration. As this study was an explorative one, blood sampling was performed by 8h. To determine the bioavailability of FL from the three GI mucoadhesive delivery systems, FL was iv administered to the same dogs and the results are also shown in Fig.1. By comparing to the AUC value obtained after the iv injection of the same amount of FL, the bioavailability of FL from

the three preparations were calculated to be  $79.1 \pm 7.59\%$ ,  $85.1 \pm 7.85\%$  and  $56.1 \pm 8.16\%$ , respectively.

Table 1  
Pharmacokinetic parameters of FL after oral administration in GI-mucoadhesive delivery systems to beagle dogs

	Cmax ( $\mu\text{g/ml}$ )	Tmax (h)	AUC ( $\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{h/ml}$ )	MRT (h)	BA (%)
HP-55	$0.40 \pm 0.03$	$2.33 \pm 0.82$	$1.24 \pm 0.12$	$3.45 \pm 0.18$	$79.1 \pm 7.59$
Eudragit L	$0.41 \pm 0.04$	$3.33 \pm 0.41$	$1.34 \pm 0.12$	$3.38 \pm 0.26$	$85.1 \pm 7.85$
Eudragit S	$0.40 \pm 0.02$	$5.00 \pm 0.00$	$0.88 \pm 0.13$	$4.32 \pm 0.17$	$56.1 \pm 8.16$

Each value represents the mean  $\pm$  S.E. of three subjects.

Pharmacodynamic study of GI mucoadhesive delivery system formulated with G-CSF

Based on the study with FL, GI mucoadhesive delivery system was applied to a protein. G-CSF was used as a model protein, because we performed many studies on the pharmacodynamic evaluation of G-CSF formulated in oral delivery systems including colon delivery system. The 125  $\mu\text{g}$  amount of G-CSF was formulated in three kinds of GI mucoadhesive delivery system and were orally administered to dogs. Fig.2 shows the time course of the pharmacological activity of G-CSF. After administration, the WBC increased at 1.4-fold as compared to the pre-dose level in the case of HP-55 GI mucoadhesive delivery system. However, the WBC more increased, approximately 1.7-fold, in

0034001-030701  
Eudragit L100 GI mucoadhesive delivery system than HP-55 GI mucoadhesive delivery system. In the case of Eudragit S100 GI mucoadhesive delivery system, the WBC did not so increase as seen in Eudragit L100 GI mucoadhesive delivery system. To determine the pharmacological availability (PA) of G-CSF from the three mucoadhesive delivery systems, the same amount of G-CSF was injected to the same dogs and the pharmacological activity of G-CSF was monitored. The inset figure shows the result. By comparing the areas under the fold increase of total WSC, the PA of the three GI mucoadhesive delivery systems are 5.5% for HP-55 GI mucoadhesive delivery system, 23% for Eudragit L100 GI mucoadhesive delivery system and 6.0% for Eudragit S100 GI mucoadhesive delivery system, respectively.

To test the dose-dependency of the pharmacological effect of G-CSF, 90 $\mu$ g of G-CSF was formulated in Eudragit L100 GI mucoadhesive delivery system and was orally administered to the same dogs. The result is also shown in the figure. The increase of the total WBC was less than that predicted from the 125 $\mu$ g dose study, and the maximum increase was 1.2 folds as compared to the pre-dose level.

In the GI mucoadhesive delivery system, additives such as surfactant, HCO-60, and organic acid, citric acid, were formulated. Therefore, to study the effects of additives on the pharmacological activity of G-CSF, the formulated amount of citric acid was decreased from 299mg to 150 and 100mg, where the amount of surfactant, HCO-60, was constant,

100mg. As shown in Fig.3, when the formulated amount of citric acid was decreased from 200mg to 150mg, the PA of G-CSF decreased. However, the PA did not significantly change by decreasing the formulated amount of citric acid from 150mg to 100mg. On the other hand, the enhancing effect of HCO-60 on the PA of G-CSF clearly decreased by decreasing the formulated amount of HCO-60 from 100mg to 50mg.

Pharmacokinetic study of G-CSF after oral administration to dogs

As Eudragit L100 GI mucoadhesive delivery system containing 125 $\mu$ g of G-CSF showed the highest PA of G-CSF, the same preparations were administered to the dogs and the plasma G-CSF Levels were measured by an ELISA method. As shown in Fig.4, plasma G-CSF levels started to increase after oral administration and reached to its peak level, 100pg/ml, at 1h. Thereafter, the plasma G-CSF level declined rapidly. These results strongly suggest that G-CSF was absorbed from the GI tract and entered into the systemic circulation as an intact form.

Determination of retention and transit of films in the GI tract of rats

To determine the adhesive characteristics of the three GI mucoadhesive delivery systems, three kinds of smaller and colored bioadhesive films, 1.0  $\times$  2.0mm, were prepared

and the test films were administered into the duodenum of rats. The retention/transit of the bioadhesive films was monitored by an abdominal incision as shown in Fig.5. For HP-55 bioadhesive films, most of the administered films were detected in the small intestinal section #1, ie., duodenum, at 1 and 2h after administration. In addition, the films were adhesive to the duodenum for approximately 3h. However, at 4h after administration, 8 of the 10 films moved to the small intestinal section #2 of the rats, and thereafter moved to the lower part of the small intestine. In the case of Eudragit L100 bioadhesive films, the films disappeared from the small intestinal section #1 within 2h after administration and retained at section #2 for approximately 2h. Thereafter, the films transferred to the small intestinal section #3 and #4 gradually. On the other hand, Eudragit S100 bioadhesive films transferred from section #1 to #4 gradually. It took about 3h. Then, the films attached to the section #5 and retained there for approximately 3h.

#### Example B

##### Example 1

An EC film (16 cm x 1.6 cm) of the protecting layer (the backing layer) was applied with Hiviswako 103 glue. A tissue paper in the same size was soaked with a drug solution which was prepared by dissolving 100  $\mu$ l of 1.25 mg/ml G-CSF solution, 100 mg of HCO-60 (a polyoxyethylated

castor oil derivative) and 200 mg of citric acid in 200  $\mu$ l of water. The tissue paper was dried and stuck to the EC film above to give the drug-carrying layer (the intermediate layer). A HP-55 film of the same size was applied with Hiviswako 103 glue, and stuck on the intermediate layer to obtain the adhesion site-controlling layer (the surface layer). The resulting three layered film was cut into small pieces, 3.0  $\times$  3.0 mm, coated with magnesium silicate powders at the cutting face, and filled into a HP-55 capsule. Lactose was filled into the empty space to give an oral patch DDS formulation.

#### Example 2

An oral patch DDS formulation was prepared in a similar manner to that in Example 1 except that 100 mg of ursodeoxycholic acid and 2,000 units of aprotinin (an inhibitor of hydrolytic enzymes) were added to the drug solution in preparing the drug-carrying layer (the intermediate layer).

#### Example 3

A gelling drug-carrying layer (the intermediate layer) was prepared first. Indinavir (100 mg) was mixed with 0.8 ml of water and 100  $\mu$ l of polyethylene glycol 400, and added with 300 mg of Hiviswako 103. The resulting mixture was thoroughly kneaded to give a glue. An EC film (the backing layer) of the same size as that in Example 1

was applied with the indinavir-containing glue. A HP-55 film was stuck as a surface layer film. After that, the magnesium silicate treatment was performed in a similar manner to that in Example 1, and the resulting film and an appropriate amount of lactose were filled into two HP-55 enteric capsules of Size No.0 to give an oral patch DDS formulation of indinavir.

#### Example 4

An oral patch DDS formulation of indinavir was prepared in a similar manner to that in Example 3 except 200 mg of cyclosporine which is an inhibitor of P-gp transporter was added to the drug solution in preparing the drug-carrying layer (the intermediate layer).

#### Example 5

Ethylcellulose(EC)(550 mg) and 50  $\mu$ l of triethyl citrate were dissolved in 5 ml of a mixture of methylene chloride and methanol (4:1). The resulting solution was poured onto a Teflon plate of 10cm x 10cm, and the solvent was evaporated to form an EC film. The EC film was put on a metal mold having projections of 200  $\mu$ m maximum diameter and 80  $\mu$ m height, and pressed at 175 °C for 10 minutes. The film was then cooled to room temperature to form an EC film having the micro-container form. G-CSF (100  $\mu$ l) as the drug, 50 mg of HCO-60 (a polyoxyethylated castor oil derivative) and 200 mg of citric acid were dissolved in 1



ml of water to prepare a drug solution. The drug solution was lyophilized, and the powdery drug was put on the EC film having the micro-container form and was uniformly filled into the micro-containers with a stainless spatula. The powder remaining on the EC plate was swept away with a stainless brush. In order to prepare a surface layer film of HP-55 which is an enteric polymer, 300 mg of HP-55 and 50  $\mu$ l of triethyl citrate were dissolved in 10 ml of a mixture of methylene chloride and methanol (4:1). The resulting solution was poured onto a Teflon plate 15 x 15 cm, and the solvent was evaporated to form a HP-55 film. As a glue for the adhesion, 0.8 g of Hiviswako 103, 2 ml of distilled water and 250  $\mu$ l of polyethylene glycol 400 were stirred in a mortar with a pestle. The glue for the adhesion was spread on the HP-55 film and stuck to the EC film having micro-containers filled with the drug. Under the microscope, the resulting layered film was cut around the micro-containers into squares about 500 x 500 micron or circles about 500 micron in diameter to give ununiform microcapsule formulations having three layered structure. Cutting was performed by laser using UV laser marking machine LYH-100AA (Ushio Electronics Co.) under YAG laser 4 times high-modulated wave, wave length of 266nm, beam wide of about 30 $\mu$ m and output of 105mV x 0.77 seconds in a way that the description character "0" is traced.

#### Example 6

According to a conventional method (Ohshima Takao, et al., Ishoku [Transplantation], vol. 34, No. 4, pp. 174-185, 1999), Langerhans islet is obtained from rat pancreas. A microinjector is filled with the pancreatic Langerhans islet suspended in a 0.1% carboxymethylcellulose solution. Under the microscope, the pancreatic Langerhans islet is injected into micro-containers on an EC film prepared by a similar manner to that in Example 5 with the microinjector. A HP-55 film is prepared by a similar manner to that in Example 5. Hiviswako 103 glue is spread on the HP-55 film and stuck to the EC film of which the micro-containers are filled with the viable cells. Under the microscope, the resulting layered film is cut around the micro-containers into squares about 500 x 500 micron or circles about 500 micron in diameter to give ununiform microcapsule formulations having three layered structure.

#### Test Example 1: Evaluation of in vivo bioavailability Method

Beagle dogs were subjected to oral administration of the oral patch DDS formulation prepared in the aforementioned Example 1 to 4. After the administration, the circulating blood was collected with time and the white blood cell count in the circulating blood was detected as an indicator of the efficacy of the drug in the cases using the G-CSF formulations. The dose of the G-CSF formulations

and the indinavir formulations were 125  $\mu$ g/dog and 100 mg/dog, respectively. The beagle dogs were male adults weighing from 10 to 11 kg and treated under fasting conditions.

The result in the therapeutic and pharmacological test of the G-CSF formulations is shown in Table 2 below.

Table 2

White blood cell count after the administration

	Before drug administration	6 hr	12hr	24hr	48hr
G-CSF solution	100	105	103	97	106
G-CSF formulation of Example 1	100	111	132	130	108
G-CSF formulation of Example 2	100	120	141	139	109

The G-CSF solution is a formulation of a commercial G-CSF injection filled in an enteric capsule made of HP-55.

The white blood cell count in the circulating blood of beagle dogs before administration is defined as a baseline (100%) and the change of the white blood cell count is represented as relative values.

The result in the administration of the indinavir formulations is shown in Table 3 below.

Table 3

Indinavir concentration in circulating plasma after oral administration ( $\mu\text{g/ml}$ )

	0hr	2hr	4hr	6hr	8hr	12hr
Tablet	0	0	0	0	0	0
Formulation of Example 3	0	0.33	0.21	0.17	0.13	0.08
Formulation of Example 4	0	0.58	0.35	0.27	0.19	0.11

#### Example C

A GI mucoadhesive delivery system containing interferon- $\alpha$  was prepared in a similar manner as in Example A except that a solution of interferon- $\alpha$  of 10,000,000 IU/ml was used instead of G-CSF solution and 5,000,000 IU of interferon- $\alpha$  (0.5ml of solution) was administered per beagle dog. Serum interferon- $\alpha$  concentrations were evaluated in a similar manner as in Example A. The obtained data are shown in Table 4 below.

Table 4

Serum interferon concentration(pg/ml) after administration

1hr	2hr	3hr	4hr	6hr
310 $\pm$ 58	343 $\pm$ 61	107 $\pm$ 37	52 $\pm$ 11	50 $\pm$ 17

#### Example D

Ethylcellulose(EC)(550 mg) and 150  $\mu$ l of triethyl citrate were dissolved in 5 ml of a mixture of methylene chloride and methanol (4:1). The resulting solution was cast on a Teflon plate of 9.0cm x 9.0cm to form an EC film. The EC film was put on an acrylic frame of 3.0cm x 5.0cm. 100 mg of polyacrylic acid, 1g of HCO-60 and 2g of citric acid were dissolved in water to prepare a solution of 10 ml of total volume. 1.5 ml of the thus-obtained solution and 0.52 ml of a solution containing 750  $\mu$ g of p24 antigen and 150  $\mu$ g of cholera toxin in PBS, were added. The sample was stored overnight in a refrigerator to form a film. A film prepared by Eudragit<sup>R</sup> L was adhered thereon, and then the resulting layered film was cut into 15 pieces of similar size, and each piece was further cut into 1.0mm x 1.0mm. The cutting face was coated with magnesium silicate powders and stearic acid fine powders.

#### Industrial Applicability

Gene recombinant technique has enabled large-scale manufacturing of various protein and peptide having an

useful physiological activity, but their administration route is limited to injections. Concerning the QOL (quality of life) of patients, the dosage forms are preferably oral preparations. However, protein and peptide will receive hydrolytic degradation in the digestive tract lumen by gastric acid, pepsin and proteolytic enzymes from pancreas in the stomach and intestine.

According to the present invention, a water-insoluble polymer or a wax as the protecting layer prevents the attack of the proteolytic enzymes in the digestive tract lumen. In addition, the adhesive (such as polyacrylate polymer) contained in the drug-carrying layer has inhibitory activity against hydrolytic enzymes, and can exhibit resistance to hydrolytic degradation caused by hydrolytic enzymes existing on the mucosal membrane of the digestive tract. Moreover, formulation of various hydrolytic enzyme inhibitors enables to retain the effect of the drug stronger and longer.

Furthermore, the bioavailability of the drug that is excreted again to the digestive tract after absorption can be improved. Cyclosporine and saquinavir, protease inhibitors of anti-AIDS agents, are considered to exhibit low bioavailability because of re-excretion to the digestive tract by P-gp expressed in the epithelial cells of the digestive tract. When a P-gp inhibitor to the common preparation is formulated, efficient inhibition cannot be achieved at the drug absorbing site since the

